

# Asymptotics for Global Measures of Accuracy of Splines

LAJOS HORVÁTH

*Department of Mathematics, University of Utah,  
Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, U.S.A.*

*Communicated by Nira Dyn*

Received February 14, 1990; accepted in revised form February 28, 1992

We obtain central limit theorems for the stochastic parts of  $L_p$ -norms of smoothed cubic spline estimators. The proofs are based on the observation that the variance term of the cubic spline is approximately of a form corresponding to a kernel estimator. © 1993 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the asymptotics of global measures ( $L_p$ -norms,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) of the estimation of a regression function by a cubic smoothing spline. The observations

$$y_i = g(x_i) + \xi_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

are given, where the design points  $x_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , are known and evenly spaced on  $[a, b]$ . For convenience we assume that  $x_i = a + (i/n)(b - a)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . We assume throughout this paper that the random errors  $\{\xi_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$  are independent identically distributed random variables (i.i.d.r.v.'s) satisfying

$$E\xi_i = 0, \quad 0 < \sigma^2 = E\xi_i^2 < \infty \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$E|\xi_i|^v < \infty \quad \text{for some } v > 2. \tag{1.2}$$

The cubic spline estimator  $g_n$  of the regression curve  $g$  is defined to be a function minimizing

$$\lambda \int_a^b (u''(t))^2 dt + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - u(x_i))^2. \tag{1.3}$$

The parameter  $\lambda = \lambda(n) > 0$  is the smoothing parameter. Splines play a very important role in numerical analysis and stochastic curve estimation, and therefore several authors have studied their properties. For a review and bibliography on splines we refer to De Boor [5], Silverman [18], and Eubank [7].

It is well known that  $g_n$  is a linear function of the observations  $y_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , which means that there exists a weight function  $G_n(t, x)$  such that

$$g_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_n(t, x_i) y_i. \quad (1.4)$$

Silverman [17] studied the connection between spline smoothing and kernel (or convolution or moving average) smoothing. He showed that, under suitable conditions, the weight function  $G_n$  is approximately of a form corresponding to smoothing by a kernel function  $K$ . The kernel  $K$  is given by

$$K(u) = \frac{b-a}{2} \exp(-2^{-1/2} |u|) \sin\left(2^{-1/2} |u| + \frac{\pi}{4}\right). \quad (1.5)$$

As it is usual in curve estimation we write

$$g_n(t) - g(t) = \hat{g}_n(t) + g_{(n)}(t) - g(t),$$

where

$$\hat{g}_n(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_n(t, x_i) \xi_i$$

is the random error and

$$g_{(n)}(t) - g(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_n(t, x_i) g(x_i) - g(t)$$

is the bias (numerical error). It turns out that the approximation of splines with kernel smoothing works very well for the random error but it does not give acceptable results for the bias. This is very similar to the behavior of Fourier type curve estimators (Horváth [8]).

The main result of this paper is the computation of the limit distribution of

$$\hat{I}_n(p) = \int_a^b |\hat{g}_n(t)|^p w(t) dt,$$

where  $w$  is a non-negative weight function. We assume that  $w$  is bounded and it is continuous on  $[a, b] \setminus C$ , where the Lebesgue measure of  $C$  is zero. We also study the asymptotics of

$$I_n(p) = \int_a^b |\hat{g}_n(t) + u_n(t)|^p w(t) dt,$$

where  $\{u_n(t), a \leq t \leq b\}$  is a non-random shift. If  $u_n(t) = g_{(n)}(t) - g(t)$  is the bias, then this special case of  $I_n(p)$  is denoted by  $I_n^*(p)$ . The integrated squared error  $EI_n^*(2)$  has been a very popular measure of accuracy in curve estimation. Wahba [19], Rice and Rosenblatt [15, 16], and Ragozin [14] gave upper bounds for  $EI_n^*(2)$  and  $E\hat{I}_n(2)$ . Lii [12] obtained central limit theorems for  $L_2$ -norm of spline density estimators. To the best of our knowledge this is the only result which gives the asymptotic distribution of a functional of a spline. Devroye and Györfi [6] advocated the  $L_1$ -norm as a measure of accuracy in estimation of functions. However, the limit distributions of the  $L_p$ -norms of splines are unknown. The limit distributions of the  $L_p$ -norms of kernel-density estimators were obtained by Csörgő and Horváth [4], Csörgő *et al.* [3], and Horváth [9]. The limit distributions of  $L_p$ -norms are of statistical significance, because goodness-of-fit tests can be based on them. The computation of the order of  $I_n(p)$  is not enough in statistical applications.

Before we state our results we list a few conditions and introduce some notations. Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $r_n(t) = n^{1/2} \lambda^{1/8} u_n(t)$ . We assume

- C1.  $\lambda(n) \rightarrow 0$ ,
- C2.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |r_n(t)| < \infty$ ,
- C3.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{a \leq t \leq b - \lambda^{1/4}} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq \lambda^{1/4}} |r_n(t) - r_n(t + s)| = 0$ ,
- C4.  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1/v - 1/2} \lambda^{-1/4}(n) < \infty$ ,
- C5.  $v > \max(3, 2p - 2)$ .

We define

$$D^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{b-a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(u) du,$$

$$\alpha(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t) K(t+s) dt \Big/ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(t) dt,$$

$$\varphi(x) = (2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp(-x^2/2),$$

$$\psi(u; x, y) = \frac{1}{2\pi(1-u^2)^{1/2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2(1-u^2)}(x^2 + y^2 - 2uxy)\right),$$

$$m = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_a^b |Dx + r_n(t)|^p w(t) \varphi(x) dt dx$$

$$m_* = D^p \int_a^b w(t) dt \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^p \varphi(x) dx$$

$$\theta^2 = \int_{R^3} \int_a^b |D^2xy + Dr_n(t)(x+y) + r_n^2(t)|^p w^2(t) (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) dt du dx dy$$

and

$$\theta_*^2 = D^{2p} \int_a^b w^2(t) dt \int_{R^3} |xy|^p (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) dx dy du.$$

Now we can state our first central limit theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *We assume that C1, C4, and C5 hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\{(n(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n))^{p/2} \hat{I}_n(p) - m_*\} / (\theta_*^2 \lambda^{1/4}(n)(b-a)^{1/4})^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1),$$

where  $N(0, 1)$  is a standard normal r.v.

The next theorem may be of more statistical interest.

**THEOREM 2.** *We assume that C1–C5 hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\{(n(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n))^{p/2} I_n(p) - m\} / (\theta^2 \lambda^{1/4}(n)(b-a)^{1/4})^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1),$$

where  $N(0, 1)$  is a standard normal r.v.

Theorem 2 remains true if C3 fails. In this case  $\theta^2$  must be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{R^2} \int_a^b \int_{-(b-t)/\lambda^{1/4}}^{(t-a)/\lambda^{1/4}} |D^2 xy + Dx r_n(t) \\ & \quad + Dy r_n(t - u \lambda^{1/4}(n)) + r_n(t) r_n(t - u \lambda^{1/4}(n))|^p \\ & \quad \times w^2(t) (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) du dt dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Also, we still have Theorem 2 if C2 changed to

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b |r_n(t)|^\tau w(t) dt < \infty$$

with  $\tau = \max(3, 2p - 2)$ . If  $p = 2$ , then the expected value and variance have simpler forms. Assuming  $p = 2$  one can write

$$m(2) = D^2 \int_a^b w(t) dt + \int_a^b r_n^2(t) w(t) dt$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^2(2) = & D^4 \int_a^b w(t) dt \int_{R^3} x^2 y^2 (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) du dx dy \\ & + 4D^2 \int_a^b r_n^2(t) w(t) dt \int_{R^3} xy (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) du dx dy \\ & + 2D^3 \int_a^b r_n(t) w(t) dt \int_{R^3} xy(x+y) (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) \\ & - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) du dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Wahba [19], Rice and Rosenblatt [15], and Ragozin [14] studied the behavior of  $\int_a^b r_n^2(t) dt$ . They showed that the rate at which  $\int_a^b (g_{(n)}(t) - g(t))^2 dt$  tends to zero is determined by the rate at which the Fourier coefficient of  $g$  decays, which depends on the smoothness of  $g$  periodically extended. Assuming that  $g$  is smooth they got that

$$\int_a^b (g_{(n)}(t) - g(t))^2 dt = O(\lambda^2(n)). \quad (1.6)$$

Let  $u_n(t) = g_{(n)}(t) - g(t)$  and  $w(t) = 1$ . If  $g$  is smooth (i.e., (1.6) holds) and  $n\lambda^{9/4}(n) \rightarrow 0$ , then by Theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{n(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n) I_n^*(p) - D^2(b-a) \\ + \int_a^b n\lambda^{1/4}(n) (g_{(n)}(t) - g(t))^2 dt\} / (\hat{\theta}^2(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n))^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1), \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

where

$$\hat{\theta}^2 = D^4(b-a) \int_{R^3} x^2 y^2 (\psi(\alpha(u); x, y) - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) du dx dy.$$

If we assume that  $n\lambda^{17/8}(n) \rightarrow 0$ , then (1.7) can be replaced by

$$\{n(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n) I_n^*(p) - D^2(b-a)\} / (\hat{\theta}^2(b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{1/4}(n))^{1/2} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1). \quad (1.8)$$

Similar arguments can be used to get the limit distribution of  $\int_a^b |g_n(t) - g(t)|^p w(t) dt$ , if  $p \geq 2$  and  $\lambda(n)$  is small enough.

Wahba [19] and Silverman [17] discussed the choice of the smoothing parameter  $\lambda$ . They suggested that the optimal  $\lambda$  should minimize  $EI_n(2)$ , the integrated mean squared error. Wahba [19] and Rice and Rosenblatt [15] showed that the optimal smoothing parameter  $\lambda$  must be propor-

tional to  $n^{-4/9}$ . In this case we can apply Theorem 1 to get the limit distribution of the stochastic part of the smoothing spline. Combining (1.6) and Theorem 2 we can get a similar result for  $I_n^*(p)$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , assuming that  $g$  is smooth. Cox [2] contains some asymptotic results on the bias of splines, which might be useful to get the limit distribution of  $I_n^*(p)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

## 2. PROOFS

First we need a few notations. Let

$$K^*(u) = K_{t,n}^*(u) = -(b-a) 2^{-3/2} r_{t,n} \exp(-2^{-1/2} |u|) \sin(2^{-1/2} |u| - \alpha_{t,n}),$$

where  $\delta(t) = \min(t-a, b-t)$ ,

$$r_{t,n} \cos \alpha_{t,n} = 1 - 2 \sin(2^{1/2} \delta(t) (b-a)^{-1/4} (t) \lambda^{-1/4})$$

and

$$r_{t,n} \sin \alpha_{t,n} = 1 + 2 \cos(2^{1/2} \delta(t) (b-a)^{1/4} \lambda^{-1/4}).$$

Thus we have

$$6 - \sqrt{2} \leq r_{t,n}^2 \leq 6 + 4\sqrt{2}. \quad (2.1)$$

We also define

$$x^* = \begin{cases} 2a - x, & \text{if } a \leq x < \frac{a+b}{2} \\ 2b - x, & \text{if } \frac{a+b}{2} < x \leq b. \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

Throughout this paper  $C_i$  stands for an absolute constant.

The following lemma is a special case of Theorem B of Silverman [17]. Silverman [17] did not assume that the design points are evenly spaced and so got a somewhat weaker result than what we need now. However, the proof of the following lemma follows immediately from the proof of Theorem B of Silverman [17].

**LEMMA 1.** *We assume that  $\lambda(n) \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). There are constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that*

$$\sup_{a \leq t, x \leq b} |G_n(t, x) - K_1(t, x)| \leq C_1 \left( \frac{1}{n\lambda^{1/2}} + \exp(-C_2(b-a)/\lambda^{1/4}) \right),$$

where  $h = \lambda^{1/4}$  and

$$K_1(t, x) = \frac{1}{h} \{K((t-x)/h) + K^*((t-x^*)/h)\}.$$

*Proof.* Following the proof of Theorem B of Silverman [17], we get the exponential term  $\exp(-C_2(b-a)/\lambda^{1/4})$  in the modified corollary of Silverman [17]. We assume the design points are evenly spaced and therefore the second integral in the definition of  $G_{n,\lambda(t)}$  in (4.11) of Silverman [17] is zero for each  $t > 0$ . Thus we get that in this case  $\sup_{(t)} |G_{n,\lambda}| \leq C_3/(n\lambda^{1/4})$ , which completes the sketch of the proof of Lemma 1.

Let

$$g_{n,1}(t) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_1(t, x_i) \zeta_i.$$

Using Lemma 1 we can estimate the difference between  $L_p$ -norms of  $\hat{g}_n$  and  $g_{n,1}$ . The estimator  $g_{n,1}$  is a kernel regression estimator, but the kernel depends on  $n$  as well as  $t$ .

LEMMA 2. *We assume that  $nh^2(n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $h(n) \rightarrow 0$ , ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), and C2, C5 hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b |(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt \\ & - \int_a^b |(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt = o_p(h^{1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* First we note

$$\gamma_n^2(t) = \text{var}((nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t)) = \sigma^2 \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_n^2(t, x_i), \quad (2.3)$$

$$\gamma_{n,1}^2(t) = \text{var}((nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t)) = \sigma^2 \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_1^2(t, x_i) \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{n,t}(t) &= \text{cov}((nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t), (nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t)) \\ &= \sigma^2 \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n G_n(t, x_i) K_1(t, x_i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

We get from Lemma 1 that

$$\sup_{a \leq t, x \leq b} |G_n(t, x) - K_1(t, x)| = o(1), \quad (2.6)$$

which implies immediately that

$$|\gamma_n^2(t) - \gamma_{n,1}^2(t)| = o(1) \left\{ h + \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |K_1(t, x_i)| \right\} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$|\delta_{n,1}(t) - \gamma_{n,1}^2(t)| = o(1) \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |K_1(t, x_i)|. \quad (2.8)$$

It follows from the definition of the design points that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \left| \frac{h}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_1^2(t, x_i) - h \int_a^b K_1^2(t, x) dx \right| = O\left(\frac{1}{nh^2}\right). \quad (2.9)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} h \int_a^b K_1^2(t, x) dx &= \frac{1}{h} \int_a^b K^2((t-x)/h) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{2}{h} \int_a^b K((t-x)/h) K^*((t-x^*)/h) dx \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{h} \int_a^b (K^*((t-x^*)/h))^2 dx \\ &= R_n^{(1)}(t) + R_n^{(2)}(t) + R_n^{(3)}(t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It is easy to see that

$$R_n^{(1)}(t) = \int_{-(b-t)/h}^{(t-a)/h} K^2(u) du, \quad (2.11)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| R_n^{(1)}(t) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(u) du \right| \\ &\leq C_4 \{ \exp(-2^{1/2}(t-a)/h) + \exp(-2^{1/2}(b-t)/h) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Next we note that

$$|R_n^{(2)}(t)| + |R_n^{(3)}(t)| \leq C_5 \frac{1}{h} \int_a^b \exp(-2^{-1/2} |t-x^*|/h) dx. \quad (2.13)$$



Using the definition of  $x^*$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{h} \int_a^{(a+b)/2} \exp(-2^{-1/2} |t-x^*|/h) dx \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_a^{(a+b)/2} \exp(-2^{-1/2}(t+x-2a)/h) dx \\ &\leq C_6 \exp(-2^{-1/2}(t-a)/h) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

and a similar argument gives

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{(a+b)/2}^b \exp(-2^{-1/2} |t-x^*|/h) dx \leq C_7 \exp(-2^{-1/2}(b-t)/h). \quad (2.15)$$

Putting together (2.4), (2.10), 2.12)–(2.15) we obtain that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |\gamma_{n,1}^2(t)| \leq C_8. \quad (2.16)$$

Similar calculations give that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \frac{1}{n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^n K_1(t, x_i) \right| \leq C_9, \quad (2.17)$$

and therefore (2.7) and (2.8) imply

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |\gamma_n^2(t) - \gamma_{n,1}^2(t)| = o(h) \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |\delta_{n,1}(t) - \gamma_{n,1}^2(t)| = o(h). \quad (2.19)$$

Next we show that there is a positive constant  $C_{10}$  such that

$$\inf_{a \leq t \leq b} \gamma_{n,1}^2(t) \geq C_{10}. \quad (2.20)$$

By (2.10), (2.12)–(2.15) we can find two positive constants  $C_{11}$  and  $C_{12}$  such that

$$\inf_{a+C_{12}h \leq t \leq b-C_{12}h} \gamma_{n,1}^2(t) \geq C_{11}. \quad (2.21)$$

Applying (2.4) and (2.9) we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{a \leq t \leq a + C_{12}h} \gamma_{n,1}^2(t) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{0 \leq t \leq C_{12}h} \sigma^2 h \int_a^b K_1^2(t, x) dx \\ &\geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{a \leq t \leq a + C_{12}h} \frac{\sigma^2}{h} \int_a^{(a+h)/2} (K((t-x)/h) + K_{t,n}^*((t+x^*)/h))^2 dx \\ &= \sigma^2 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{a \leq t \leq a + C_{12}h} \int_{\dots((a+b)/2 - t)/h}^{(t-a)/h} (K(u) + K_{t,n}^*(-u + 2(t-a)/h))^2 du \\ &= \sigma^2 \inf_{0 \leq x \leq C_{12}} \int_{-\infty}^x (K(u) + K_x^*(-u + 2x))^2 du > 0, \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} K_x^*(u) &= -2^{-3/2} r_x \exp(-2^{-1/2} |u|) \sin(2^{-1/2} |u| - \alpha_x), \\ r_x \cos \alpha_x &= 1 - 2 \sin(2^{1/2} x) \\ r_x \sin \alpha_x &= 1 + 2 \cos(2^{1/2} x). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument is working when  $b - C_{12}h \leq t \leq b$ , which also completes the proof of (2.20).

Let  $\rho_{n,1}(t) = \text{cor}((nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t), (nh)^{1/2} g_{n1}(t))$ . It is immediate from (2.18), (2.19), and (2.20) that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |1 - \rho_{n,1}(t)| = o(h). \tag{2.23}$$

We assume that  $3 \leq r \leq v$ . It is easy to check that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |h^{1/2} K_1(t, x_i)|^r E |\xi_i|^r = O(h^{(2-r)/2}) \tag{2.24}$$

and by Lemma 1 we have

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |h^{1/2} G_n(t, x_i)|^r E |\xi_i|^r = O(h^{(2-r)/2}). \tag{2.25}$$

Using the assumption  $nh^2 \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and (2.16), (2.18), (2.24), (2.25) we find two constants  $C_{13}$  and  $C_{14}$  such that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{h^{1/2}}{\gamma_{n,1}(t)} K_1(t, x_i) \right|^r E |\xi_i|^r \leq C_{13} h^{(2-r)/2} \tag{2.26}$$

and

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \frac{h^{1/2}}{\gamma_n(t)} G_n(t, x_i) \right|^r E |\xi_i|^r \leq C_{14} h^{(2-r)/2}. \quad (2.27)$$

Choosing  $r > \max(3, 2p-2)$  in (2.26) and (2.27) we can apply Theorem 17.6 in Bhattacharya and Rao [1]. If  $N$  stands for a standard normal r.v. then we can write

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |E|(nh)^{1/2}(g_{n,1}(t))|^{2p-2} - (\gamma_{n,1}(t))^{2p-2} E|N|^{2p-2}| = O((nh)^{-1/2}), \quad (2.28)$$

which implies

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} E|(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t)|^{2p-2} \leq C_{15}. \quad (2.29)$$

Similar arguments give

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} E|(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t)|^{2p-2} \leq C_{16}. \quad (2.30)$$

The Cauchy inequality yields

$$\begin{aligned} E &| |(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^p | \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} (E\{|(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t) + r_n(t)|^{2p-2} + |(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^{2p-2}\}) \\ &\quad \times (E((nh)^{1/2} (\hat{g}_n(t) - g_{n,1}(t)))^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

We get immediately from (2.23) that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} E((nh)^{1/2} (\hat{g}_n(t) - g_{n,1}(t)))^2 = o(h). \quad (2.32)$$

Thus we proved

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b E &| |(nh)^{1/2} \hat{g}_n(t) + r_n(t)|^p \\ &- |(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^p | w(t) dt = o(h^{1/2}), \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

which implies Lemma 2.

According to the following lemma we can assume without loss of generality that the original observations are normal r.v.'s.

LEMMA 3. We assume C1, C2, and C5 hold. Then we can define a sequence of Wiener processes  $\{W_n(u), -\infty < u < \infty\}$  such that

$$\int_a^b |(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt - \int_a^b |\Gamma_{n,1}(t) + r_n(t)|^p dt = o_p(h^{1/2}),$$

where

$$\Gamma_{n,1}(t) = \left(\frac{h\sigma^2}{b-a}\right)^{1/2} \int_a^b K_1(t, x) dW_n(x).$$

*Proof.* Introducing

$$S(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq x} \xi_i, \quad S(x) = 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

one can write

$$(nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) = \left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{1/2} \int_{(0, n]} K_1\left(t, a + \frac{x}{n}(b-a)\right) dS(x). \quad (2.34)$$

Komlós *et al.* [10, 11] and Major [13] constructed a Wiener process  $\{W(x), x \geq 0\}$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq n} |S(x) - \sigma W(x)| = o(n^{1/\nu}) \quad \text{a.s.} \quad (2.35)$$

Integration by parts and (2.35) yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \left| (nh)^{1/2} g_{n,1}(t) - \left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \int_0^n K_1\left(t, a + \frac{x}{n}(b-a)\right) dW(x) \right| \\ &= o_p(n^{1/\nu}/(nh)^{1/2}) \\ & \quad + o_p\left(\left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{1/2} \int_0^n \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x} K_1\left(t, a + \frac{x}{n}(b-a)\right) \right| dx\right) \\ &= o_p(n^{1/\nu}/(nh)^{1/2}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.36)$$

The scale and shift transformation of the Wiener process implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \int_0^n K_1\left(t, a + \frac{x}{n}(b-a)\right) dW(x), a \leq t \leq b \right\} \\ & \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \left\{ \left(\frac{h}{n}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \int_a^b K_1(t, u) dW\left(n \frac{u-a}{b-a}\right), a \leq t \leq b \right\} \\ & \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \left\{ \left(\frac{h}{b-a}\right)^{1/2} \sigma \int_a^b K_1(t, u) dW(u), a \leq t \leq b \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.37)$$

which also completes the proof of Lemma 3.

The distribution of the Wiener processes  $W_n$  does not depend on  $n$ , therefore it is enough to study the  $L_p$ -norm of

$$\Gamma_1(t) = \left( \frac{h}{b-a} \right)^{1/2} \sigma \int_a^b K_1(t, u) dW(u).$$

We define

$$\Gamma_2(t) = \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \right)^{1/2} \int_a^b K((t-u)/h) dW(u),$$

and show that the difference between  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  is small.

LEMMA 4. *If C1 and C2 hold, then we have*

$$\int_a^b |\Gamma_1(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt - \int_a^b |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt = o_p(h^{1/2}).$$

*Proof.* Let

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,3}^2(t) &= \text{var } \Gamma_1(t) = \frac{\sigma^2 h}{b-a} \int_a^b K_1^2(t, u) du, \\ \gamma_{n,4}^2(t) &= \text{var } \Gamma_2(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \int_a^b K^2((t-u)/h) du \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\delta_{n,2}(t) = \text{cov}(\Gamma_1(t), \Gamma_2(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{b-a} \int_a^b K((t-u)/h) K_1(t, u) du.$$

By definition of  $K_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n,3}^2(t) &= \gamma_{n,4}^2(t) + \frac{2\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \int_a^b K((t-u)/h) K^*((t-u^*)/h) du \\ &\quad + \frac{\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \int_a^b (K^*((t-u^*)/h))^2 du. \end{aligned} \quad (2.38)$$

Similarly to (2.14) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |\gamma_{n,3}^2(t) - \gamma_{n,4}^2(t)| &\leq C_{17} \{ \exp(-2^{-1/2}(t-a)/h) \\ &\quad + \exp(-2^{-1/2}(b-t)/h) \} \end{aligned} \quad (2.39)$$

and

$$|\delta_{n,2}(t) - \gamma_{n,4}^2(t)| \leq C_{18} \{ \exp(-2^{-1/2}(t-a)/h) + \exp(-2^{-1/2}(b-t)/h) \}. \quad (2.40)$$

The processes  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are Gaussian and therefore

$$E |\Gamma_1(t)|^r = \gamma_{n,3}^{r/2} E |N|^r, \quad (2.41)$$

$$E |\Gamma_2(t)|^r = \gamma_{n,4}^{r/2} E |N|^r \quad (2.42)$$

for all  $r > 0$ , where  $N$  is a standard normal r.v. Using (2.39) we can find two constants  $C_{19}$  and  $C_{20}$  such that

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \gamma_{n,3}^2(t) \leq C_{19} \quad (2.43)$$

and

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \gamma_{n,4}^2(t) \leq C_{20}. \quad (2.44)$$

Thus we get from (2.41)–(2.44) that

$$\int_a^{a+h^{3/4}} E | |\Gamma_1(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p | w(t) dt = o(h^{1/2}) \quad (2.45)$$

and

$$\int_{b-h^{3/4}}^b E | |\Gamma_1(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p | w(t) dt = o(h^{1/2}). \quad (2.46)$$

It follows from (2.39) and (2.4) that

$$\inf_{a+h^{3/4} \leq t \leq b-h^{3/4}} \gamma_{n,3}^2(t) > C_{21}, \quad (2.47)$$

$$\inf_{a+h^{3/4} \leq t \leq b-h^{3/4}} \gamma_{n,4}^2(t) > C_{22} \quad (2.48)$$

and

$$\sup_{a+h^{3/4} \leq t \leq b-h^{3/4}} |\delta_{n,2}(t) - \gamma_{n,4}^2(t)| = o(h). \quad (2.49)$$

Introducing  $\rho_{n,2}(t) = \text{cor}(\Gamma_1(t), \Gamma_2(t))$  we have by (2.47)–(2.49) that

$$\sup_{a+h^{3/4} \leq t \leq b-h^{3/4}} |1 - \rho_{n,2}(t)| = o(h). \quad (2.50)$$

Let  $a + h^{3/4} \leq t \leq b - h^{3/4}$ . Applying the Cauchy inequality and (2.41), (2.42), (2.49) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 E | |\Gamma_1(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p | \\
 \leq 2^{p-1} (E\{ |\Gamma_1(t) + r_n(t)|^{2p-2} + |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^{2p-2} \})^{1/2} \\
 \times (E(\Gamma_1(t) - \Gamma_2(t))^2)^{1/2} = o(h^{1/2})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.51}$$

uniformly on  $[a + h^{3/4}, b - h^{3/4}]$ . Now Lemma 4 follows from (2.45), (2.46), and (2.51).

Let

$$\Gamma_3(t) = \frac{\sigma}{(h(b-a))^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K((t-u)/h) dW(u).$$

Next we estimate the difference between  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\Gamma_3$ .

LEMMA 5. *If C1 and C2 hold, then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have*

$$\int_a^b |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt - \int_a^b |\Gamma_3(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt = o_p(h^{1/2}).$$

*Proof.* We follow the proof of Lemma 4. Let

$$\gamma_{n,5}^2(t) = \text{var } \Gamma_3(t) = \frac{\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2((t-u)/h) du = \frac{\sigma^2}{b-a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(u) du$$

and

$$\delta_{n,3}(t) = \text{cov}(\Gamma_2(t), \Gamma_3(t)) = \frac{\sigma^2}{h(b-a)} \int_a^b K^2((t-u)/h) du.$$

An elementary calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\gamma_{n,4}^2(t) - \gamma_{n,5}^2(t)| \leq C_{23} \{ \exp(-2^{1/2}(t-a)/h) \\
 + \exp(-2^{1/2}(b-t)/h) \}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.52}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\delta_{n,3}(t) - \gamma_{n,5}^2(t)| \leq C_{24} \{ \exp(-2^{1/2}(t-a)/h) \\
 + \exp(-2^{1/2}(b-t)/h) \}.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.53}$$

The bounds in (2.52) and (2.53) appeared earlier in (2.39) and (2.40). Thus continuing along the lines of the proof of Lemma 4 we get

$$\int_a^b E | |\Gamma_2(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |\Gamma_3(t) + r_n(t)|^p | w(t) dt = o(h^{1/2}),$$

which also completes the proof of Lemma 5.

Central limit theorems for  $L_p$ -norms of a kernel-transformed Wiener process were obtained by Csörgő and Horváth [4] and Horváth [9]. They assumed that the kernel has a finite support and therefore their result cannot be used immediately in our case. First we use the truncated kernel

$$K_A(u) = \begin{cases} K(u), & \text{if } |u| \leq A \\ 0, & \text{if } |u| > A, \end{cases}$$

and then we show that the central limit remains true when  $A \rightarrow \infty$ . Let

$$\Gamma_A(t) = \frac{\sigma}{(h(b-a))^{1/2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A((t-u)/h) dW(u)$$

and define

$$D_A^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{b-a} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A^2(u) du,$$

$$\alpha_A(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A(t) K_A(t+s) dt \bigg/ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A^2(t) dt,$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_A &= E \int_a^b |\Gamma_A(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_a^b |D_A x + r_n(t)|^p w(t) \varphi(x) dt dx, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^2(\beta; U, V) &= \int_{R^3} \int_a^b |UVxy + r_n(t)(Ux + Vy) + r_n^2(t)|^p w^2(t) (\psi(\beta(u); x, y) \\ &\quad - \varphi(x) \varphi(y)) dt dx dy du, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta_A^2 = \theta^2(\alpha_A; D_A, D_A).$$

Now we can state the result of Csörgő and Horváth [4].



LEMMA 6. We assume that C1, C2, and C3 hold,  $A > 0$ . Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\left\{ \int_a^b |\Gamma_A(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt - m_A \right\} / (\theta_A h^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1),$$

where  $N(0, 1)$  stands for a standard normal r.v.

The following result shows that lemma 6 remains true when  $A = \infty$ .

LEMMA 7. We assume that C1, C2, and C3 hold. Then, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\left\{ \int_a^b |\Gamma_3(t) + r_n(t)|^p w(t) dt - m \right\} / (\theta h^{1/2}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, 1), \quad (2.54)$$

where  $N(0, 1)$  stands for a standard normal r.v.

*Proof.* Let

$$\eta_A = \int_a^b (|\Gamma_3(t) + r_n(t)|^p - |\Gamma_A(t) + r_n(t)|^p) w(t) dt - (m - m_A),$$

and

$$\alpha_{1,A}(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A(u) K(u+s) du \left( \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_A^2(u) du \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K_1^2(u) du \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Lengthy but elementary calculations give that

$$|E\eta_A^2/h - (\theta^2 + \theta_A^2 - 2\theta^2(\alpha_{1,A}; D, D_A))| = o(1), \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} (\theta^2 + \theta_A^2 - 2\theta^2(\alpha_{1,A}; D, A_A)) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{A \rightarrow \infty} \theta^2/\theta_A^2 = 1.$$

Now Lemma 6 implies (2.54).

#### REFERENCES

1. R. N. BHATTACHARYA AND R. R. RAO, "Normal Approximation and Asymptotic Expansions," Wiley, New York, 1976.
2. D. D. COX, Approximations of method of regularization estimators, *Ann. Statist.* **16** (1988), 694–712.

3. M. CSÖRGŐ, E. GOMBAY, AND L. HORVÁTH, Central limit theorems for  $L_p$  distances of kernel estimator of densities under random censorship, *Ann. Statist.* **19** (1991), 1813–1831.
4. M. CSÖRGŐ AND L. HORVÁTH, Central limit theorems for  $L_p$ -norms of density estimators, *Probab. Theory Related Fields* **80** (1988), 269–291.
5. C. DE BOOR, “A Practical Guide to Splines,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1978.
6. L. DEVROYE AND L. GYÖRFI, “Nonparametric Density Estimation: The  $L_1$  View,” Wiley, New York, 1985.
7. R. L. EUBANK, “Spline Smoothing and Nonparametric Regression,” Dekker, New York, 1988.
8. L. HORVÁTH, Asymptotics for  $L_p$ -norms of Fourier series density estimators, *Constr. Approx.* **6** (1990), 375–397.
9. L. HORVÁTH, On  $L_p$ -norms of multivariate density estimators, *Ann. Statist.* **19** (1991), 1933–1949.
10. J. KOMLÓS, P. MAJOR, AND G. TUSNÁDY, An approximation of partial sums of independent R.V.'s and the sample D.F.I., *Z. Wahrschein. Gebiete* **32** (1975), 111–131.
11. J. KOMLÓS, P. MAJOR, AND G. TUSNÁDY, An approximation of partial sums of independent R.V.'s and the sample D.F.II., *Z. Wahrschein. Gebiete* **34** (1976), 33–58.
12. K.-S. LIU, A global measure of spline estimate, *Ann. Statist.* **6** (1978), 1138–1148.
13. P. MAJOR, The approximation of partial sums of independent R.V.'s, *Z. Wahrschein. Gebiete* **35** (1976), 213–220.
14. D. L. RAGOZIN, Error bounds for derivative estimates based on spline smoothing of exact or noisy data, *J. Approx. Theory* **37** (1983), 335–355.
15. J. RICE AND M. ROSENBLATT, Integrated mean error of smoothing spline, *J. Approx. Theory* **33** (1981), 353–369.
16. J. RICE AND M. ROSENBLATT, Smoothing splines: Regression, derivative and deconvolution, *Ann. Statist.* **11** (1984), 141–156.
17. B. W. SILVERMAN, Spline smoothing: The equivalent variable kernel method, *Ann. Statist.* **12** (1984), 898–916.
18. B. W. SILVERMAN, “Density Estimation for Statistics and Data Analysis,” Chapman & Hall, London, 1986.
19. G. Wahba, Smoothing noisy data with spline functions, *Numer. Math.* **24** (1975), 383–393.